



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6767
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidative Response of Hydrilla verticillata (L.f.) Royle under Short Term Exposure to Mercuric Chloride

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The manuscript is improved compared to the first version. In my opinion the amount of tissue on which the analyses were carried out should be clearly specified in the materials and methods, not inferred from the figures. For readability, the "letter code" used for the statistical significance should be described in the manuscript, and different letters should be used for light and dark condition data series. In fig. 1 part B after "(mg g FW-1 "- there is missing a parenthesis.	At the outset I would like to thank profusely to the anonymous "Final Evaluator" for thoroughly going through the revised MS and suggesting some modifications for the improvement of the paper. As per suggestions the following changes have been in the text:- - The amount of tissue taken for different parameters are now mentioned clearly in the materials and methods sections against each parameter. Please follow lines 90, 91; 99, 100; 104, 108; 113, 114; 123, 124, 125 and 135,138 for amount of tissues taken and the other changes made therein. -As suggested, different letter codes are now used for light conditions ('a,b,c,d and e') and dark samples ('f,g,h,i and j'). This has been indicated in the lines 163 and 164 in the revised MS. In lines 206-208 in the legend of Fig. 1, descriptions about uses of these letter codes to indicate the significance of difference are there. -In Fig. 1 B, the missing parenthesis has now been incorporated in the revised MS.